FILED

No. 05-61 7 NOV 1 1 2005

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

V.

THEODORE JOHNSON,

Respondent.

SUZANNE MITCHELL, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

V.

LYNN AUGUST,

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Fifth Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CHARLES C. FOTI
Attorney General, State of Louisiana
M. BRENT HICKS (La. Bar Roll 23778)
Counsel of Record
MICHAEL H. RUBIN (La. Bar Roll 10833)
MCGLINCHEY STAFFORD, PLLC
14th Floor, One American Place
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70825
(225) 383-9000

Attorneys for Petitioners

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Can Congress condition federal financial assistance to states upon a waiver of sovereign immunity under the Spending Clause, U.S. Const. art. I, §8, cl. 1, and, if so, is 42 U.S.C. §2000d-7(a) of the Civil Rights Remedies Equalization Act unconstitutionally coercive as applied in this case?
- 2. Under 42 U.S.C. §2000d-7(a) of the Civil Rights Remedies Equalization Act, can a waiver of sovereign immunity ever be knowing and voluntary when, under the jurisprudence at the time of complainant's cause of action and at the time the State accepted financial aid, immunity was already abrogated?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

V.

THEODORE JOHNSON,

Respondent.

Petitioners:

Louisiana Department of Education State of Louisiana President of the Louisiana State University System Louisiana Board of Regents University of New Orleans

Represented by:

Charles C. Foti, Attorney General, State of Louisiana M. Brent Hicks (La. Bar Roll 23778) Michael H. Rubin (La. Bar Roll 10833) McGlinchey Stafford, PLLC One American Place, 14th Floor 301 Main Street Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70825

Respondent:

Theodore Johnson

Represented by: J. Stuart Buck, Esq. Attorney at Law 1843 Lancaster Drive Springdale, AR 72762

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS - Continued

Intervenor:

The United States of America
Represented by:
The Solicitor General of the United States
Room 5614
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avanue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

SUZANNE MITCHELL, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

V.

LYNN AUGUST,

Respondent.

Petitioners:

Suzanne Mitchell
Mae Nelson
Ed Baras
Department of Social Services for the State of Louisiana
Represented by:

Charles C. Foti, Attorney General, State of Louisiana M. Brent Hicks (La. Bar Roll 23778) Michael H. Rubin (La. Bar Roll 10833) McGlinchey Stafford, PLLC One American Place, 14th Floor 301 Main Street Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70825

Respondent:

Lynn August

Represented by: Sanford A. Kutner 6 Tara Place. Metairie, LA 70002

Reva E. Lupin, Esq. Post Office Box 8802 Metairie, LA 70011-8802

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS - Continued

Intervenor:

The United States of America Represented by: The Solicitor General of the United States Room 5614 Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20530-0001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	. I	age
QUES	TIONS PRESENTED	i
Lou	IES TO THE PROCEEDINGSisiana Department of Education, et al. v. Theo-	ii
	IES TO THE PROCEEDINGSanne Mitchell, et al. v. Lynn August	iii
TABL	E OF AUTHORITIES	vii
TABL	E OF APPENDICES	xiii
OPINI	IONS BELOW	1
STATI	EMENT OF JURISDICTION	1
	TITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS OLVED	i
	EMENT OF THE CASE	3
A.	Statement of Facts	3
	1. Johnson v. Louisiana Department of Education, et al	3
	2. August v. Mitchell, et al.	4
	3. Facts common to both cases	4
B.	The District Court Opinions and the Panel Opinion.	6
C.	The En Banc Opinion	7
REAS	ONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	8
I.	Whether Congress may use its spending power to condition receipt of federal financial assistance upon a waiver of sovereign immunity is a question of exceptional national importance, which has never been addressed by this Court	9

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

		1	Page
	A.	Congress's recent use of the Spending Clause has eroded State autonomy	9
	B.	This Court has never ruled whether Congress may condition federal aid upon a waiver of sovereign immunity	13
	C.	This Court's unconstitutional conditions doctrine prohibits Congress from conditioning federal aid upon a waiver of immunity	15
II.	be art He	several occasions, this Court has ruled that tain conditions placed upon federal aid may unconstitutionally coercive; yet, it has not iculated a test resulting in a Circuit split. re, this Court is given the opportunity to an- unce a test and resolve the Circuit split	18
	A.	A split in the Circuit Courts of Appeal exists concerning how to apply this Court's coercion test	19
	В.	At issue in Johnson is whether the Louisiana Department of Education was coerced into waiving its sovereign immunity in order to receive \$800 million in education funds.	22
III.	not	split in the circuits exists concerning how arts should determine whether a state has tice of the conditions placed upon federal fi- ncial assistance	25
ONO	CLU	SION	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS:	
U.S. Const. amend. X	1, 24
U.S. Const. amend. XI	1, 9, 15
U.S. Const. amend. XIV	11
U.S. Const. art. 1, §8, cl. 1	2, 9
STATUTE3:	
20 U.S.C. §1403	9
20 U.S.C. §1681	9
28 U.S.C. §1254	1
29 U.S.C. §794	2
42 U.S.C. §504	2, 4
42 U.S.C. §1981	4
42 U.S.C. §1983	4
42 U.S.C. §2000cc-2(a)	9
42 U.S.C. §2000d-7	passim
42 U.S.C. §6101	4
42 U.S.C. §12133	5
28 C.F.R. 42.104	9
49 C.F.R. 21.5(b)(12)	9
SUPREME COURT CASES:	
44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island, 517 U.S. 4 (1996)	8415
Alden v. Maine, 527 U.S. 706 (1999)	14

Page
Barnes v. Gorman, 536 U.S. 181 (2002)
Barron v. Burnside, 121 U.S. 186 (1887)
Board of County Com'rs, Wabaunsee County, Kansas v. Umbehr, 518 U.S. 668 (1996)17
Board of Trustees of University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356 (2001)
Brown v. Board of Educ., 347 U.S. 483 (1954)
Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976) (per curiam) 10
City of Boerne v. Flores, 521 U.S. 507 (1997)
College Savings Bank v. Florida Prepaid Postsec- ondary Education Expense Board, 527 U.S. 666 (1999)passim
Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education, 526 U.S. 629 (1999)
Dolan v. City of Tigard, 512 U.S. 374 (1994)
Doyle v. Continental Insurance Co., 94 U.S. 535 (1876)
Federal Communications Commission v. League of Women Voters of California, 468 U.S. 364 (1984)
Federal Maritime Commission v. South Carolina State Ports Authority, 535 U.S. 743 (2002)
Great Northern Life Ins. Co. v. Read, 322 U.S. 47 (1944)
Harris v. McRae, 448 U.S. 297 (1980)
Home Insurance Co. of New York v. Morse, 87 U.S. 445 (1874)
Honig v. Doe, 484 U.S. 305 (1988)24

Page
Jackson v. Birmingham Board of Education, 125 S.Ct. 1497 (2005)
Kimel v. Florida Board of Regents, 528 U.S. 62 (2000)
Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533 (2001)
Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717 (1974)24
Moran v. Burbine, 475 U.S. 412 (1986)
NEA v. Finley, 524 U.S. 569 (1998)10
New York v. U.S., 505 U.S. 144 (1992)
Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. Halderman, 451 U.S. 1 (1981)
Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority v. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc., 506 U.S. 139 (1993)6
Rust v. Sullivan, 500 U.S. 173 (1991)10
Security Mut. Life Insurance Co. v. Prewitt, 202 U.S. 246 (1906)
Selective Serv. Sys. v. Minn. Pub. Interest Research Group, 468 U.S. 841 (1984)
Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida, 517 U.S. 44 (1996)
South Dakota v. Dole, 483 U.S. 203 (1987)passim
Steward Machine Co. v. Davis, 301 U.S. 548 (1937) 19, 24
Tennessee v. Lane, 541 U.S. 509 (2004)
Terral v. Burke Construction Co., 257 U.S. 529 (1922)

Page
Thomas v. Review Bd. of Indiana Employment Security Div., 450 U.S. 707 (1981)
United States v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1 (1936) 12, 19
United States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549 (1995) 11, 24
FEDERAL COURT CASES:
A.W. v. The Jersey City Public Schools, 341 F.3d 234 (3rd Cir. 2003)
Amos v. Maryland Dept. of Public Safety and Correctional Services, 126 F.3d 589 (4th Cir. 1997)
Barbour v. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, 374 F.3d 1161 (D.C. Cir. 2004), cert. denied, U.S, 125 S.Ct. 1591, (2005)11, 14, 28
California v. United States, 104 F.3d 1086 (9th Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 806 (1997)21
Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Educa- tion v. Riley, 106 F.3d 559 (4th Cir. 1997) (en banc)
Coolbaugh v. Louisiana, 136 F.3d 430 (5th Cir. 1998), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 819 (1998) 4, 5, 6, 27, 28
Doe v. Nebraska, 345 F.3d 593 (8th Cir. 2003)
Garcia v. S.U.N.Y. Health Services Center, 280 F.3d 98 (2nd Cir. 2001)
Jim C. v. U.S., 235 F.3d 1079 (8th Cir. 2000) (en banc), cert. denied, 533 U.S. 949 (2001)11, 20, 24
Kansas v. United States, 214 F.3d 1196 (10th Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1035 (2000) 19, 21

Page
Koslow v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 302 F.3d 161 (3rd Cir. 2002), cert. denied, 537 U.S. 1232 (2003)
Litman v. George Mason University, 186 F.3d 544 (4th Cir. 1999), cert. denied, 528 U.S. 1181 (2000) 14
Miller v. Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, 330 F.3d 691 (5th Cir. 2003), opinion va- cated, 342 F.3d 563 (5th Cir. 2003)
Miller v. Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center c/w Johnson v. Louisiana Department of Education, et al., c/w August v. Mitchell, et al., 421 F.3d 342 (5th Cir. 2005) (en banc)
Neinast v. Texas, 217 F.3d 275 (5th Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1190 (2001)
Nevada v. Skinner, 884 F.2d 445 (9th Cir. 1989) 10
Nieves-Marquez v. Puerto Rico, 353 F.3d 108 (1st Cir. 2003)
Oklahoma v. Schweiker, 655 F.2d 401 (D.C. Cir. 1981)
Pace v. Bogalusa City School Bd., 403 F.3d 272 (5th Cir. 2005) (en banc), cert. denied, 2005 WL 2493865 (Oct. 11, 2005)passim
Pace v. Bogalusa City School Bd., 325 F.3d 609 (5th Cir. 2003), opinion vacated, 339 F.3d 348 (5th Cir. 2003)
Reickenbacker v. Foster, 274 F.3d 974 (5th Cir. 2001)
Thompson v. Colorado, 258 F.3d 1241 (10th Cir. 2001), cert. denied, 535 U.S. 1077 (2002)